arXiv:1606.02806v1 [math.DS] 9 Jun 2016

On stability of cooperative and hereditary systems with a distributed delay

Leonid Berezansky[1] **and Elena Braverman**[2]

[1] Dept. of Math, Ben-Gurion University of Negev, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

E-mail: brznsky@cs.bgu.ac.il

[2] Dept. of Math & Stats, University of Calgary, 2500 University Dr. NW, Calgary, AB, Canada T2N 1N4

E-mail: maelena@math.ucalgary.ca

Abstract. We consider a system $\frac{dx}{dt} = r_1(t)G_1(x)\left[\int_{h_1(t)}^{t} f_1(y(s))\ d_sR_1(t,s) - x(t)\right]$, $\frac{dy}{dt} = r_2(t)G_2(y)\left[\int_{h_2(t)}^{t} f_2(x(s))\ d_sR_2(t,s) - y(t)\right]$ with increasing functions f_1 and f_2, which has at most one positive equilibrium. Here the values of the functions r_i, G_i, f_i are positive for positive arguments, the delays in the cooperative term can be distributed and unbounded, both systems with concentrated delays and integro-differential systems are a particular case of the considered system. Analyzing the relation of the functions f_1 and f_2, we obtain several possible scenarios of the global behaviour. They include the cases when all nontrivial positive solutions tend to the same attractor which can be the positive equilibrium, the origin or infinity. Another possibility is the dependency of asymptotics on the initial conditions: either solutions with large enough initial values tend to the equilibrium, while others tend to zero, or solutions with small enough initial values tend to the equilibrium, while others infinitely grow. In some sense solutions of the equation are intrinsically non-oscillatory: if both initial functions are less/greater than the equilibrium value, so is the solution for any positive time value. The paper continues the study of equations with monotone production functions initiated in [Nonlinearity, 2013, 2833-2849].

AMS Subject Classification: 34K20, 92D25, 34K25

Keywords: cooperative systems of differential equations, distributed delay, global attractivity, permanent solutions

1. Introduction

The system of autonomous differential equations with constant delays in the production term

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= R_1(y(t-\tau_1)) - a_1 x(t) \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= R_2(x(t-\tau_2)) - a_2 y(t) \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

was considered in [30], where $R_i : (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ are monotone increasing functions. It can describe a couple of populations, where the growth of each population is stimulated by the size of the other population and is suppressed by its own growth. Systems of differential equations describing different types of species, where the rate of change for each of them is positively influenced by all other populations but itself, are usually called *cooperative*. This is in contrast, for example, to competitive systems, where this influence is negative, and predator-prey systems, with different types of influences. These systems can correspond to the cooperative types of species, or to the patch environment, the growth in each patch is suppressed by overpopulation in itself while stimulated by high density in adjacent patched, due, for example, to possible immigration. Another situation is *hereditary systems* where each variable describes a different developmental stage of the same species (e.g. eggs, larvae, juveniles, adult species capable of reproduction). In the case of system (1.1), x and y can be juvenile and adult counts, respectively. There is a competition within each group, as well as natural mortality, and the mortality per capita rate is assumed to be population-independent. All the growth of juveniles is due to reproduction of adults, while maturation of juveniles contributes to adult numbers. There are delays in both recruitment processes (maturation delay for juveniles and reproduction time for adults). In line with the above description, model (1.1) includes delay in the reproduction term only, and the mortality is assumed to be proportional to the current population density.

In the present paper, we consider systems of two equations where the growth of each of two variables is stimulated by high numbers in the other (due to cooperation, or inheriting part of it, or influx of offspring of the other population), and call them cooperative or hereditary systems. The delays of a positive impact can describe the time required to translate nutritional benefits into body mass for the cooperation type. For hereditary systems, we have maturation and reproduction delays.

System (1.1) includes the two-neuron bidirectional associative memory (BAM) model [15]

$$x'(t) = -x(t) + af(y(t)) + I, \quad y' = -y(t) + bg(x(t)) + J. \tag{1.2}$$

A simplified version of the delay system considered in [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= c_1 \tanh(y(t-\tau_1)) - \mu_1 x(t) \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= c_2 \tanh(x(t-\tau_2)) - \mu_2 y(t) \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

is also a particular case of (1.1).

Another autonomous model

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= G_1(x(t))\left[R_1(y(t-\tau_1)) - a_1 x(t)\right] \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= G_2(y(t))\left[R_2(x(t-\tau_2)) - a_2 y(t)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

includes a system of logistic equations with the delay in the production term; equations of this type were described in [1]. Some particular non-delay systems of type (1.4) were studied in [29]. For example, the Lotka-Volterra cooperative model considered in [21, 27, 22], if the delayed mortality terms are omitted, has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= x(t)\left[r_1 - a_1 x(t) + b_1 y(t-\tau_1)\right] \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= y(t)\left[r_2 - a_2 y(t) + b_2 x(t-\tau_2)\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Evidently (1.5) is a particular case of (1.4), and all the results of [30] are applicable to (1.5).

The Hopfield neural network [13]

$$x_i'(t) = -b_i(x_i(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)) + I_i, \quad t \geq 0, \ i = 1, \ldots, n, \tag{1.6}$$

with $n = 2$ and $c_{ii} = 0$, can be rewritten as (1.4) with $\tau_1 = \tau_2 = 0$, arbitrary $a_i > 0$ and $G_i(u) = b_i(u)/(a_i u)$, $R_i(u) = c_{ij} f_j(u)/G_i(u)$, $j \neq i$.

The purpose of the present paper is to explore global asymptotic stability of cooperative systems with a distributed delay, which include (1.1) and (1.4) as special cases; in addition to being distributed, the delay can change with time. Distributed delays describe a feasible fact that any interval for delay value has some probability, such models include equations with concentrated (either constant or variable) delays. Stability of equations and systems with distributed delays attracted recently much attention, see, for example, [2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 31] for some recent results and their applications, also see references therein. The summary of the results obtained by the beginning of 1990ies can be found in [16]. The methods applied to establish absolute convergence of the system either to the origin, or to the unique positive equilibrium, or to infinity, goes back to [5, 6] and was applied in [3, 4]. In contrast to our earlier papers [5, 6, 3, 4], in the present paper we consider a system, not a single equations. Compared to all other previous work, the main differences are outlined below.

- We consider distributed delays of the most general type; as particular cases, they include systems with variable concentrated delays, integral terms (in most papers, distributed delay is associated with these integral terms), their combination, and some other models (for example, Cantor function as a distribution). Moreover,

argument deviations can be Lebesgue measurable functions, they are not required to be continuous. Thus the methods developed for continuous delays are not applicable in this setting.

- The delay distributions can be non-autonomous. If we describe these distributions as a probability that a delay takes a greater than a given value, this corresponds to time-dependent delay. In applications, this allows to consider, for example, seasonal changes in delay distributions. To some extent, we explore the most general system with a unique positive equilibrium, and justify global stability of this equilibrium, once delays are involved in those terms only which describe cross-influences. This is a generalization of the result in [30] for a system of two autonomous equations with constant concentrated delays. To some extent, we have answered the question when delays do not have any destabilizing effect on a non-autonomous system of two equations.
- On the other hand, many of the previous papers on distributed delay describe much more complicated dynamics than absolute global stability established in the present paper. For example, delay dependence of stability properties was studied in [6], while possible multistability considered in [4]. However, the study of systems which can be destabilized by large enough delay are not in the framework of the present paper. Here we restrict ourselves to monotone increasing production functions, which can be treated as positive feedback in the delayed term.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains existence, positivity and permanence results for models with a distributed delay. Section 3 presents the global stability theorem which is the main result of the present paper. Finally, Section 4 considers applications and involves some discussion.

2. Positivity and Solution Bounds

In the present paper we consider the system with a distributed delay

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1(t)\left[\int_{h_1(t)}^{t} f_1(y(s))\ d_sR_1(t,s) - x(t)\right] \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2(t)\left[\int_{h_2(t)}^{t} f_2(x(s))\ d_sR_2(t,s) - y(t)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

with the initial conditions

$$x(t) = \varphi(t),\ t \le 0, \quad y(t) = \psi(t),\ t \le 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\varphi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ are initial functions.

Definition 2.1 *The pair of functions* $(x(t), y(t))$ *is* **a solution of system** (2.1),(2.2) *if it satisfies* (2.1) *for almost all* $t \ge 0$ *and* (2.2) *for* $t \le 0$.

System (2.1) will be investigated under some of the following assumptions:

(a1) $f_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$, $i = 1, 2$ are continuous functions, f_i are strictly monotone increasing on $\mathbb{R}^+$ ($f_i(x) > f_i(y)$ for $x > y \geq 0$) and $f_i(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, $i = 1, 2$;

(a2) The equation $f_1^{-1}(x) = f_2(x)$ has exactly one positive solution $K > 0$, where $f_2(x) > f_1^{-1}(x)$ for $f_1(0) < x < K$ and $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ for $x > K$;

(a3) $h_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$ are Lebesgue measurable functions, $h_i(t) \leq t$, $\lim\limits_{t\to\infty} h_i(t) = \infty$, $i = 1, 2$;

(a4) $R_i(t, \cdot)$, $i = 1, 2$ are left continuous non-decreasing functions for any t, $R_i(\cdot, s)$ are locally integrable for any s, $R_i(t, s) = 0$, $s \leq h_i(t)$, $R_i(t, t^+) = 1$, $r_i(t)$ are Lebesgue measurable essentially bounded on $\mathbb{R}^+$ functions, $r_i(t) \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$; here $u(t^+)$ is the right-side limit of function u at point t.

(a5) $\displaystyle\int_0^\infty r_i(s)\, ds = \infty,\ i = 1, 2;$

(a6) $\varphi : (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi : (-\infty, 0] \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous bounded functions, $\varphi(t) \geq 0$, $\psi(t) \geq 0$, $t < 0$, $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\psi(0) > 0$.

Condition (a2) implies that system (2.1) has one and only one positive equilibrium which is $(x(t), y(t)) = (K, f_2(K))$.

As particular cases, system (2.1) includes the model with variable delays

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1(t)\,[f_1(y(h_1(t))) - x(t)] \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2(t)\,[f_2(x(h_2(t))) - y(t)] \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where instead of (a4) we assume

(b4) $r_i(t)$ are Lebesgue measurable essentially bounded on $\mathbb{R}^+$ functions, $r_i(t) \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$,

and the integro-differential system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1(t) \left[\int_{h_1(t)}^t K_1(t, s) f_1(y(s))\, ds - x(t)\right] \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2(t) \left[\int_{h_2(t)}^t K_2(t, s) f_2(x(s))\, ds - y(t)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where instead of (a4) we consider the condition

(c4) $K_i(t, s) : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $i = 1, 2$ are locally integrable functions in both t and s satisfying $\displaystyle\int_{h_i(t)}^t K_i(t, s)\, ds \equiv 1$, $r_i(t)$ are Lebesgue measurable essentially bounded on $\mathbb{R}^+$ functions, $r_i(t) \geq 0$, $i = 1, 2$.

Definition 2.2 *The solution $(x(t), y(t))$ of (2.1),(2.2) is* **permanent** *if there exist a, b and A, B, $A \geq a > 0$, $B \geq b > 0$, such that*

$$a \leq x(t) \leq A, \quad b \leq y(t) \leq B,\ t \geq 0.$$

Theorem 2.3 presents sufficient conditions when there exists a positive solution of (2.1),(2.2) on $[0,\infty)$.

Theorem 2.3 *Suppose (a1),(a3)-(a4),(a6) hold.*

1) A solution of (2.1),(2.2) *is positive in its maximal interval of existence* $[0,d)$.

2) If in addition

(a2*) *there exists* $K>0$ *such that* $f_2(x)<f_1^{-1}(x)$ *for* $x>K$

then there exists a positive solution of (2.1),(2.2) *for* $t\in[0,\infty)$. *We will call it a global solution.*

3) If (a1)-(a4), (a6) hold, then the global solution of (2.1),(2.2) *is permanent.*

Proof. The proof is illustrated by Fig. 1.

1) The existence of a local solution which is positive on $[0,\varepsilon)$ is justified in the same way as in [3, 4], using the result of [7, Theorem 4.5, p. 95].

This solution is either global or there exists t_2 such that either

$$\liminf_{t\to t_2^-} x(t)=-\infty \tag{2.5}$$

or

$$\limsup_{t\to t_2^-} x(t)=\infty \tag{2.6}$$

or either (2.5) or (2.6) is satisfied with $y(t)$ instead of $x(t)$.

The initial value is positive, so as long as $x(t)>0$, $y(t)>0$, each component of the solution $(x(t),y(t))$ is not less than the solution of the initial value problem for the system of ordinary differential equations

$$x'(t)+r_1(t)x(t)=0,\quad y'(t)+r_2(t)y(t)=0,\quad x(t_0)=x_0>0,\quad y(t_0)=y_0>0, \tag{2.7}$$

and this solution is positive for any $t\ge 0$. Let us assume that either $x(t)$ or $y(t)$ becomes negative and let t_1 be the smallest positive number where either $x(t_1)=0$ or $y(t_1)=0$. However, the above argument implies

$$x(t_1)\ge x_0\exp\left\{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} r_1(s)\,ds\right\}>0,\quad y(t_1)\ge y_0\exp\left\{\int_{t_0}^{t_1} r_2(s)\,ds\right\}>0,$$

which is a contradiction, hence all solutions of (2.1),(2.2) are positive. This also excludes the possibility that either (2.5) or a similar equality for $y(t)$ holds and concludes the proof of Part 1) in the statement of the theorem.

2) Assuming (a2*), let us prove that (2.6) cannot be satisfied. By the assumption in (a6), both initial functions are bounded. Fix some $\varepsilon>0$ and denote $\nu_1=\max\{K+\varepsilon,\sup_{s\le 0}\varphi(s)+\varepsilon\}$, $\nu_2=\max\{f_2(K)+\varepsilon,\sup_{s\le 0}\psi(s)+\varepsilon\}$. Let us verify that there exist positive bounds M_1, M_2 for the solutions x and y, respectively, such that $f_2(M_1)<M_2<f_1^{-1}(M_1)$ and $f_1(M_2)<M_1<f_2^{-1}(M_2)$, which means that the point (M_1,M_2) is between the curves $f_2(x)$ (the lower curve) and $f_1^{-1}(x)$ (the upper curve), $x>K$.

If $f_2^{-1}(\nu_2) \le \nu_1$, denote $M_2 = f_2(\nu_1) + \varepsilon_1$, where $\varepsilon_1 < f_1^{-1}(\nu_1) - f_2(\nu_1)$ is a positive number, which exists since $f_1^{-1}(x) - f_2(x) > 0$ for $x > K + \varepsilon$, and $M_1 = \nu_1$.

If $f_2^{-1}(\nu_2) > \nu_1$ but $f_1^{-1}(\nu_1) \le \nu_2$, denote $M_1 = f_2^{-1}(\nu_2) + \varepsilon_1$, where $\varepsilon_1 < f_1(\nu_2) - f_2^{-1}(\nu_2)$, and $M_2 = \nu_2$.

If both $f_2^{-1}(\nu_2) > \nu_1$ and $f_1^{-1}(\nu_1) > \nu_2$, we can take $M_1 = \nu_1$ and $M_2 = \nu_2$, then $f_2(M_1) < M_2 < f_1^{-1}(M_1)$ and $f_1(M_2) < M_1 < f_2^{-1}(M_2)$.

We have $x_0 < M_1$, $y_0 < M_2$, these inequalities are also valid on $[0, t_0)$ for some $t_0 > 0$. Let us prove that $x(t) < M_1$, $y(t) < M_2$ for any $t \ge 0$. Let us assume the contrary, and let t_1 be the smallest point where either $x(t_1) = M_1$ or $y(t_1) = M_2$. Suppose $x(t_1) = M_1$, the case $y(t_1) = M_2$ is considered similarly. Denote $t^* = \sup\{t \in [0, t_1] | x(t) \le f_1(M_2)\}$, so $x(t^*) = f_1(M_2)$ and $x(t) > f_1(M_2)$ for $t \in (t^*, t_1]$ However, for $t \in [t^*, t_1]$ we have $y(t) \le M_2$, so $f_1(y(t)) \le f_1(M_2)$ and $f_1(M_2) < x(t) < M_1$, thus due to monotonicity of f_1

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = r_1(t) \left[\int_{h_1(t)}^{t} f_1(y(s))\, d_s R_1(t,s) - x(t) \right] \le r_1(t) \left[f_1(M_2) - f_1(M_2) \right] = 0,$$

non-positivity of the derivative of x on $[t^*, t_1]$ implies $M_1 = x(t_1) \le x(t^*) = f_1(M_2)$, which is a contradiction. Thus (2.6) is impossible and there exists a positive global solution.

3) Next, assume that (a2) holds, which is a particular case of (a2*), and prove permanence of equation (2.1) with positive initial conditions.

By (a6) we have $x_0 = x(0) > 0$, $y_0 = y(0) > 0$, and according to (a3) there is t_1 such that $h_i(t) \ge 0$ for $t \ge t_1$, $i = 1, 2$. From positivity of solutions justified in Part 2, there are μ_1 and μ_2 such that $x(t) \ge \mu_1 > 0$ and $y(t) \ge \mu_2 > 0$ for any $t \in [0, t_1]$. In particular, we can choose $m_1 > 0$ and $m_2 > 0$ satisfying

$$m_1 < \min\left\{\mu_1, f_2^{-1}(\mu_2), K\right\}, \quad m_2 < \min\left\{\mu_2, f_1^{-1}(\mu_1), K\right\}, \tag{2.8}$$

and also such that the point (m_1, m_2) is between the curves $y = f_1^{-1}(x)$ and $y = f_2(x)$, where $0 < m_1 < K$, so

$$f_1(m_2) > m_1, \quad f_2(m_1) > m_2, \tag{2.9}$$

which is possible since $f_2(x) > f_1^{-1}(x)$ for $x \in [0, K]$ and thus in $(0, \min\left\{\mu_1, f_2^{-1}(\mu_2), K\right\})$. Thus any point (m_1, m_2) between the curves $y = f_1^{-1}(x)$ and $y = f_2(x)$, see Fig. 1, satisfies (2.9).

Further, let us verify that $x(t) \ge m_1$, $y(t) \ge m_2$ for any $t \ge 0$. As defined, $x(t) \ge m_1$, $y(t) \ge m_2$ for $t \in [0, t_1]$, and also $h_i(t) \ge 0$ for $t \ge t_1$, $i = 1, 2$. Thus $x(t)$ is greater than the solution of the ordinary differential equation

$$x'(t) = r_1(t)[f_1(m_2) - x(t)]$$

as long as $y(t) \ge m_2$, so $x(t)$ is increasing if $m_1 < x(t) < f_1(m_2)$, thus $x(t) > m_1$ unless $y(t)$ becomes smaller than m_2 (in fact, even smaller than $f^{-1}(m_1) < m_2$). However,

$$y'(t) > r_2(t)[f_2(m_1) - y(t)]$$



Figure 1: Illustration of the solution bounds

as long as $x(t) \geq m_1$, thus $x(t) \geq m_1$, $y(t) \geq m_2$ for any $t \geq 0$.

The upper bound of the solution was constructed in Part 2), thus the solution is permanent, which concludes the proof.

Corollary 2.4 *The results of Theorem 2.3 hold for system* (2.3),(2.2) *if instead of (a4) we assume (b4).*

Corollary 2.5 *The results of Theorem 2.3 hold for system* (2.4),(2.2) *if assumption (a4) is replaced by (c4).*

Remark 2.6 *Let us note that (a2*) guarantees global boundedness but not persistence of solutions, see Example 2.8 where the solution tends to (0,0) as* $t \to \infty$.

The following examples illustrate the fact that when (a2) is not satisfied, the solution can fail to be either bounded or persistent, even for a non-delay system.

Example 2.7 *Let* $f_i(x) = x^2 + x$, $r_i(t) = 1$, $h_i(t) = t$ *in* (2.3). *The system*

$$x'(t) = y^2(t) + y(t) - x(t), \quad y'(t) = x^2(t) + x(t) - y(t) \tag{2.10}$$

has an unbounded solution $(x(t), y(t)) = \left(\dfrac{1}{3-t}, \dfrac{1}{3-t}\right)$ *on* $[0, 3)$. *The functions* $f_i(x)$ *satisfy* $f_2(x) > x > f_1^{-1}(x)$, *so (a2) does not hold, there is no positive equilibrium.*

Example 2.8 *For* $f_i(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$, $r_i(t) = 1$, $h_i(t) = t$ *in* (2.3), *the system*

$$x'(t) = \frac{1}{2}y(t) - x(t), \quad y'(t) = \frac{1}{2}x(t) - y(t) \tag{2.11}$$

has a solution $(x(t), y(t)) = \left(e^{-t/2}, e^{-t/2}\right)$ *on* $[0, \infty)$ *which tends to zero as* $t \to \infty$ *and thus is not persistent. The functions* $f_1(x) = f_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x$ *satisfy* $f_2(x) = \frac{1}{2}x < 2x = f_1^{-1}(x)$ *for any* $x > 0$, *thus (a2*) is satisfied while (a2) is not.*

3. Stability of the Positive Equilibrium

Next, let us proceed to stability. The following result considers the case when $f_2(x) > f_1^{-1}(x)$ on $(0, K)$, and $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ on (K, ∞). This can be interpreted as cooperation for small x and competition for large x. Theorem 3.1 states that in this case the equilibrium $(K, f_2(K))$ attracts all positive solutions.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose (a1)-(a6) hold. Then any solution of* (2.1),(2.2) *converges to the unique positive equilibrium* $(x(t), y(t)) \to (K, f_2(K))$ *as* $t \to \infty$.

Proof. The proof is illustrated by Fig. 2.

According to Theorem 2.3, there are a, A, b, B such that $0 < a \le x(t) \le A$ and $0 < b \le y(t) \le B$ for any $t \ge 0$. We can always assume $a < K < A$ and $b < K < B$ without loss of generality.

Consider in addition to f_1, f_2 a monotone increasing function $g : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ which satisfies $f_1^{-1}(x) < g(x) < f_2(x)$ on $(0, K)$ and $f_2(x) < g(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ on (K, ∞); in particular, we can take $g(x) = \alpha f_1^{-1}(x) + (1 - \alpha) f_2(x)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, where we assume $f_1^{-1}(x) = 0$ if there is no non-negative t such that $f_1(t) = x$. As we assumed $f_1^{-1}(0) = 0$, we can always find $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $g(0) \le b$.

Further, let us choose $a_0 = \min\{a, g^{-1}(b)\}$, $b_0 = \min\{b, g(a)\}$. The function $g(x)$ is monotone increasing, and we have either $g(a) \ge b$ or $g(a) < b$. In the former case $b_0 = b$ and $a > g^{-1}(b)$, so $a_0 = g^{-1}(b)$ and $b_0 = g(a_0)$. In the latter case $b_0 = g(a)$ and $a < g^{-1}(b)$, so $a_0 = a$ and $b_0 = g(a_0)$.

We also have $a_0 \le a$, $b_0 \le b$, so

$$0 < a_0 \le x(t) \le A_0, \quad 0 < b_0 \le y(t) \le B_0, \quad t \ge 0. \tag{3.1}$$

By (a3), there is $t_0 > 0$ such that $h_i(t) \ge 0$ for $t \ge t_0$, $i = 1, 2$. Next, we have $f_1(b_0) > b_0$, $f_2(a_0) > a_0$ since $g(a_0) = b_0$, and the curve $g(x)$ is between $f_1^{-1}(x)$ and $f_2(x)$, see Fig. 2.

Define $a_1 = \min\{g^{-1}(f_2(a_0)), f_1(b_0)\}$, $b_1 = \min\{f_2(a_0), g(f_1(b_0))\}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 = g(a_1), \quad & f_1(y) \ge f_1(b_0) - a_1 \ge 0 \qquad \text{for } \ y \in [b_0, b_1], \\ & f_2(x) \ge f_1^{-1}(a_0) - b_1 \ge 0 \qquad \text{for } \ x \in [a_0, a_1], \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

and the inequalities are strict for any $x < a_1$, $y < b_1$. Thus (2.1) and (3.2) imply $x'(t) > 0$, $y'(t) > 0$ for $(x, y) \in [a_0, a_1] \times [b_0, b_1]$, and the derivative is positive for any $x < a_1$, $y < b_1$. Let us prove that there exists $t_1 \ge t_0$ such that

$$0 < a_1 \le x(t), \quad 0 < b_1 \le y(t), \quad t \ge t_1. \tag{3.3}$$

Let us choose $a^* \in (a_0, a_1)$, $b^* \in (b_0, b_1)$ and first prove that there exists t^* such that $x(t^*) \ge a^*$, $y(t^*) \ge b^*$. If $x(t_0)$ and $y(t_0)$ satisfy these inequalities, they are also satisfied for any $t \ge t_0$ due to (3.2), and there is nothing to prove. If either $x(t_0) < a^*$ or $y(t_0) < b^*$, or both, then the derivative exceeds a positive value

$$x'(t) > r_1(t)[f_1(b_0) - a^*], \quad y'(t) > r_2(t)[f_1^{-1}(a_0) - b^*],$$



Figure 2: Convergence and solution bounds

as long as $x(t) < a^*$, $y(t) < b^*$, where the expressions in the brackets are positive constants. Due to (a5), there is a point t^* such that $x(t^*) \geq a^*$, $y(t^*) \geq b^*$. Moreover, as (3.1) holds, these inequalities are satisfied for $t \geq t^*$ as well. Let us choose $\bar{t}$ such that $h_i(t) \geq t^*$ for $t \geq \bar{t}$, $i = 1, 2$. Then

$$x'(t) > r_1(t)[f_1(b^*) - a_1], \quad y'(t) > r_2(t)[f_1^{-1}(a^*) - b_1], \quad t \geq \bar{t},$$

as long as $x(t) < a_1$, $y(t) < b_1$, and the expressions in the brackets are positive constants. Again, referring to (a5), we obtain that there exists $t_1^* \geq \bar{t}$ such that (3.3) holds. Applying the same procedure to the upper bound, we find $t_1 \geq t_1^*$ such that

$$0 < a_1 \leq x(t) \leq A_1, \quad 0 < b_1 \leq y(t) \leq B_1, \quad t \geq t_1. \tag{3.4}$$

Continuing the process by induction, we obtain increasing sequences $\{a_n\}$, $\{b_n\}$, $\{t_n\}$ and decreasing sequences $\{A_n\}$, $\{B_n\}$, where $g(a_n) = b_n$, $g(A_n) = B_n$ and

$$0 < a_n \leq x(t) \leq A_n, \quad 0 < b_n \leq y(t) \leq B_n, \quad t \geq t_n. \tag{3.5}$$

Thus all the sequences have limits: $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = a$, $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n = b$, and $g(a) = b$; moreover, all $a_n < K$, $b_n < K$, so $a \leq K$, $b \leq K$. If $a < K$ then $f_1(b) > a$ and $f_2(a) > b$, and from continuity there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f_2(x) > b$ for $x \in (a - \varepsilon, a)$ and $f_1(y) > a$ for $y \in (g^{-1}(a - \varepsilon), b)$. As a is a limit, there exists $a_k \in (a - \varepsilon, a)$, then $a_{k+1} = \min\{g^{-1}(f_2(a_k)), f_1(b_k)\} > a$, which leads to a contradiction with $a > a_k$ for any k. Hence $a = K$; similarly, we can prove that $A = K$ and thus any solution of (2.1),(2.2) converges to the unique positive equilibrium: $(x(t), y(t)) \to (K, f_2(K))$ as $t \to \infty$.

Corollary 3.2 *The results of Theorem 3.1 hold for system* (2.4),(2.2) *if assumption (a4) is replaced by (b4).*

Corollary 3.3 *The results of Theorem 3.1 hold for system* (2.4),(2.2) *if assumption (a4) is replaced by (c4).*

Example 3.4 *Let us note that condition (a5) is not required for permanence of solutions but is crucial for convergence to the unique positive equilibrium. For example, if* $f_i(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x$, $r_1(t) = 2 + \sin t$, $r_2(t) = 2 + \cos t$, $K_i(t,s) = 1$, $h_i(t) = t - 1$ *in* (2.4), *then all solutions with positive initial values and non-negative initial functions of the system*

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= (2+\sin t)\left[\int_{t-1}^{t}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}y(s)\right)\,ds - x(t)\right], \\ y'(t) &= (2+\cos t)\left[\int_{t-1}^{t}\left[1+\frac{1}{2}x(s)\right)\,ds - y(t)\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

converge to the unique positive equilibrium point (2,2), since all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied.

However, system (2.3) *with* $f_i(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}x$, $r_i(t) = \dfrac{2}{e^{2t}+0.5}$, $h_i(t) = t$, *which is*

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= \frac{2}{e^{2t}+0.5}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}y(t)\right) - x(t)\right], \\ y'(t) &= \frac{2}{e^{2t}+0.5}\left[\left(1+\frac{1}{2}x(t)\right) - y(t)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

has a solution $\left(4+e^{-2t}, 4+e^{-2t}\right)$ *which tends to* $(4,4)$ *as* $t \to \infty$, *not to the unique positive equilibrium point (2,2). For system* (3.7) *with* $(x(0), y(0)) = (5,5)$, *all the conditions of Theorem 3.1 but (a5) are satisfied, since* $\displaystyle\int_0^\infty r(t)\,dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{2\,dt}{e^{2t}+0.5} < \infty$.

In contrast to Theorem 3.1, if $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ for any x, all positive solutions converge to zero, which can be interpreted as a continuing negative mutual influence leading to extinction. In the case $f_2(x) > f_1^{-1}(x)$ for any x, all positive solutions are unbounded and tend to infinity. The effect is due to mutual positive feedback.

Theorem 3.5 *Suppose (a1) and (a3)-(a6) hold.*

1) If $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ *for any* $x > 0$ *then every solution of* (2.1),(2.2) *converges to zero as* $t \to \infty$.

2) If $f_2(x) > f_1^{-1}(x)$ *for any* $x > 0$ *then every global solution of* (2.1),(2.2) *tends to* $+\infty$ *as* $t \to \infty$.

Proof. 1) The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.1. First, we notice that there exist $A_0, B_0 > 0$ such that

$$0 < x(t) \le A_0, \quad 0 < y(t) \le B_0, \quad t \ge t_0.$$

Next, we define $A_1 = f_1(B_0)$, $B_1 = f_2(A_0)$ (see Fig. 3) and prove that for some $t_1 > t_0$ we have

$$0 < x(t) \le A_1, \quad 0 < y(t) \le B_1, \quad t \ge t_1.$$



Figure 3: Illustration of the solution bounds tending to zero

By induction we verify

$$0 < x(t) \le A_n, \quad 0 < y(t) \le B_n, \quad t \ge t_n$$

where $A_n = f_1(B_{n-1})$, $B_n = f_2(A_{n-1})$ and both sequences $\{A_n\}$ and $\{B_n\}$ are positive, decreasing and hence have a limit. Let $d = \lim_{n\to\infty} A_n$, then by construction and continuity of f_i we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} B_n = f_2(d)$ and $f_1(f_2(d)) = d$, so $d = f_2(d) = 0$. Thus any solution of (2.1),(2.2) converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

The proof of 2) is similar.

Corollary 3.6 *The results of Theorem 3.5 hold for system* (2.3),(2.2) *if assumption (a4) is replaced by (b4).*

Corollary 3.7 *The results of Theorem 3.5 hold for system* (2.4),(2.2) *if assumption (a4) is replaced by (c4).*

Example 3.8 *For any $h > 0$, consider system* (2.4) *with $f_i(x) = x^2 + x$, $r_i(t) = 1$, $h_i(t) = t - h$, $K_i(t,s) = \dfrac{1}{h}$, with*

$$x'(t) = \frac{1}{h}\int_{t-h}^{t} \left[y^2(s) + y(s)\right]\, ds - x(t), \quad y'(t) = \frac{1}{h}\int_{t-h}^{t} \left[x^2(s) + x(s)\right]\, ds - y(t). \tag{3.8}$$

Every solution with non-negative initial conditions and positive initial values tends to infinity at the right end of the maximal interval where the solution exists, which illustrates Part 2 of Theorem 3.5.

Example 3.9 *For any $h > 0$, consider system* (2.4) *with $f_1(x) = e^x - 1$, $f_2(x) = \dfrac{1}{2}\ln(x+1)$, $r_i(t) = 1$, $h_i(t) = t - h$, $K_i(t,s) = \dfrac{2}{h^2}(s + h - t)$, which is*

$$\begin{aligned} x'(t) &= \frac{2}{h^2}\int_{t-h}^{t} (s + h - t)\left(e^{y(s)} - 1\right)\, ds - x(t), \\ y'(t) &= \tfrac{2}{h^2}\textstyle\int_{t-h}^{t} (s + h - t)\tfrac{1}{2}\ln(x(s) + 1)\, ds - y(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Then $f_1^{-1}(x) = \ln(x+1) > f_2(x)$ for positive x, by Part 1of Theorem 3.5 every solution with non-negative initial conditions and positive initial values tends to zero as $t \to \infty$.

In both Theorems 3.1 and 3.5, all positive solutions had the same asymptotics. Theorem 3.10 considers the case when the limit behaviour depends on the initial conditions. In particular, two cases are considered. In the first case, for small initial conditions, a solution tends to zero, while for large initial conditions, a solution tends to the unique positive equilibrium. In the second case, for small initial conditions, a solution tends to the unique positive equilibrium, for large initial conditions, a solution tends to infinity.

Theorem 3.10 *Suppose (a1) and (a3)-(a6) hold.*

1) If $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ for any $x > 0$, $x \neq K$ and $f_2(K) = f_1^{-1}(K)$ then any solution of (2.1),(2.2) *with the initial function satisfying $\varphi(t) \geq K$, $\psi(t) \geq f_2(K)$ tends to $(K, f_2(K))$ as $t \to \infty$, while any solution of* (2.1),(2.2) *with the initial function satisfying $\varphi(t) < K$, $\psi(t) < f_2(K)$ converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.*

2) If $f_2(x) > f_1^{-1}(x)$ for any $x > 0$, $x \neq K$ and $f_2(K) = f_1^{-1}(K)$ then any solution of (2.1),(2.2) *with the initial function satisfying $\varphi(t) \geq K$, $\psi(t) \geq f_2(K)$ tends to $+\infty$ as $t \to \infty$ (or $t \to c^-$, where c is a finite right end of the maximal interval of the existence of the solution), while any solution of* (2.1),(2.2) *with the initial function satisfying $\varphi(t) < K$, $\psi(t) < f_2(K)$ converges to $(K, f_2(K))$ as $t \to \infty$.*

Proof. 1) The proof of the case $\varphi(t) \geq K$, $\psi(t) \geq f_2(K)$ completely coincides with the proof of the upper bound in Theorem 3.1, since the lower bound of the solution is K and, as in (a2), $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ for $x > K$. If $\varphi(t) \in (0, K)$, $\psi(t) \in (0, f_2(K))$, then we repeat the previous proof for $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$, where the zero takes the place of K.

Similarly, in 2) the part $\varphi(t) \in (0, K)$, $\psi(t) \in (0, f_2(K))$ coincides with the proof that the lower bound tends to K in Theorem 3.1, as $f_2(x) > f_1^{-1}(x)$, $x \in (0, K)$. For the proof of the second part we construct a sequence of upper bounds which tends to $+\infty$, as in the proof of Theorem 3.5.

Corollary 3.11 *The results of Theorem 3.10 hold for system* (2.3),(2.2) *if assumption (a4) is replaced by (b4).*

Corollary 3.12 *The results of Theorem 3.10 hold for system* (2.4),(2.2) *if assumption (a4) is replaced by (c4).*

The following result can be interpreted as nonoscillation about the unique positive equilibrium.

Theorem 3.13 *Suppose (a1)-(a4) and (a6) hold. Any solution of* (2.1),(2.2) *with the initial function satisfying $\varphi(t) \geq K$, $\psi(t) \geq f_2(K)$ satisfies $x(t) \geq K$, $y(t) \geq f_2(K)$ for any $t \geq 0$, while any solution of* (2.1),(2.2) *with $\varphi(t) \leq K$, $\psi(t) \leq f_2(K)$ satisfies $x(t) \leq K$, $y(t) \leq f_2(K)$ for any $t \geq 0$.*

Proof. Consider the case $\varphi(t) \geq K$, $\psi(t) \geq f_2(K)$. As long as $x(t) \geq K$, $y(t) \geq f_2(K)$, we have

$$\frac{dx}{dt} \geq r_1(t) \left[\int_{h_1(t)}^{t} f_1(f_2(K))\ d_s R_1(t,s) - x(t) \right] = r_1(t)[K - x(t)],$$

$$\frac{dy}{dt} \geq r_2(t) \left[\int_{h_2(t)}^{t} f_2(K)\ d_s R_2(t,s) - y(t) \right] = r_2(t)[f_2(K) - y(t)].$$

The first inequality implies $x(t) \geq \varphi(0) - K + K \exp\left\{ \int_0^t r_1(s)\ ds \right\}$ which exceeds K for $\varphi(0) > K$ and is identically equal to K if $\varphi(0) = K$. The second inequality gives $y(t) \geq \psi(0) - f_2(K) + f_2(K) \exp\left\{ \int_0^t r_2(s)\ ds \right\}$ which is also not less than $f_2(K)$.

Again, using monotonicity of f_i, the case $0 \leq \varphi(t) \leq K$, $0 \leq \psi(t) \leq f_2(K)$, $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\psi(0) > 0$ is treated in a similar way.

A more general model

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1(t) G_1(x) \left[\int_{h_1(t)}^{t} f_1(y(s))\ d_s R_1(t,s) - x(t) \right] \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2(t) G_2(y) \left[\int_{h_2(t)}^{t} f_2(x(s))\ d_s R_2(t,s) - y(t) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

includes a system of logistic equations with the delay in the production term described in [1]. We assume that the functions G_i satisfy

(a7) $G_i : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $i = 1, 2$ are continuous functions satisfying $G_i(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$.

The proofs of the following results coincide with the proofs of Theorems 2.3,3.1,3.5,3.10, respectively.

Theorem 3.14 *Suppose (a1)-(a4),(a6)-(a7) hold. Then any solution of* (3.10),(2.2) *is permanent.*

Theorem 3.15 *Suppose (a1)-(a7) hold. Then any solution of* (3.10),(2.2) *converges to the unique positive equilibrium* $(x(t), y(t)) \to (K, f_2(K))$ *as* $t \to \infty$.

Theorem 3.16 *Suppose (a1) and (a3)-(a7) hold.*

1) If $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ *for any* $x > 0$ *then any solution of* (3.10),(2.2) *converges to zero as* $t \to \infty$.

2) If $f_2(x) > f_1^{-1}(x)$ *for any* $x > 0$ *then any global solution of* (3.10),(2.2) *tends to infinity as* $t \to \infty$.

Theorem 3.17 *Suppose (a1) and (a3)-(a7) hold.*

1) If $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ *for any* $x > 0$, $x \neq K$ *and* $f_2(K) = f_1^{-1}(K)$ *then any solution of* (3.10),(2.2) *with the initial function satisfying* $\varphi(t) \geq K$, $\psi(t) \geq f_2(K)$ *tends to* $(K, f_2(K))$ *as* $t \to \infty$, *while any solution of* (3.10),(2.2) *with the initial function satisfying* $\varphi(t) < K$, $\psi(t) < f_2(K)$ *converges to zero as* $t \to \infty$.

2) If $f_2(x) > f_1^{-1}(x)$ for any $x > 0$, $x \neq K$ and $f_2(K) = f_1^{-1}(K)$ then any solution of (3.10),(2.2) with the initial function satisfying $\varphi(t) \geq K$, $\psi(t) \geq f_2(K)$ tends to $+\infty$ as $t \to \infty$ (or $t \to c^-$, where c is a finite right end of the maximal interval of the existence of the solution), while any solution of (3.10),(2.2) with $\psi(t) < f_2(K)$ converges to $(K, f_2(K))$ as $t \to \infty$.

Theorem 3.18 *Suppose (a1)-(a4) and (a6)-(a7) hold. Any solution of (2.1),(2.2) with the initial function satisfying $\varphi(t) \geq K$, $\psi(t) \geq f_2(K)$ satisfies $x(t) \geq K$, $y(t) \geq f_2(K)$ for any $t \geq 0$, while any solution of (2.1),(2.2) with $\varphi(t) \leq K$, $\psi(t) \leq f_2(K)$ satisfies $x(t) \leq K$, $y(t) \leq f_2(K)$ for any $t \geq 0$.*

Example 3.19 *All solutions of system (2.1) with $G_i(x) = x$, $r_i(x) = 1$, $R_i(t,s) = \chi_{(h_i(t),\infty)}(s)$, where $\chi_I(\cdot)$ is the characteristic function of set I,*

$$x'(t) = x(t)\left[\sqrt{y(h_1(t))} + 2 - x(t)\right], \quad y'(t) = y(t)\left[x(h_2(t)) - y(t)\right],$$

with non-negative initial functions and non-trivial initial value converge to the positive equilibrium (4,4). This is also true for solutions with non-negative initial functions and non-trivial initial value of system (2.1) with $f_1(x) = \sqrt{x} + 2$, $f_2(x) = x$, $r_i(t) = 1$, $h_i(t) = t - h$, $R_i(t,s) = \frac{1}{h}(s - t + h)$ if $s \in [t-h, t]$ and zero elsewhere, which is

$$x'(t) = \frac{x(t)}{h}\int_{t-h}^{t}(\sqrt{y(s)} + 2)\ ds - x^2(t), \quad y'(t) = \frac{y(t)}{h}\int_{t-h}^{t} x(s)\ ds - y^2(t).$$

4. Applications and Discussion

As an example, consider the following models of type (1.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= c_1 \int_{h_1(t)}^{t} K_1(t,s)\tanh(y(s))\ ds - \mu_1 x(t) \\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= c_2 \int_{h_2(t)}^{t} K_2(t,s)\tanh(x(s))\ ds - \mu_2 y(t) \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = c_1\tanh(y(h_1(t)) - \mu_1 x(t), \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = c_2\tanh(x(h_2(t)) - \mu_2 y(t), \tag{4.2}$$

where c_1, c_2, μ_1, μ_2 are positive constants, $\int_{h_1(t)}^{t} K_1(t,s)\ ds = \int_{h_2(t)}^{t} K_2(t,s)\ ds \equiv 1$, $K_i(t,s) \geq 0$ for any $t \geq 0$, $s > 0$, h_i are Lebesgue measurable functions satisfying $h_i(t) \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} h_i(t) = \infty$, $i = 1, 2$. A more general version of (4.1) but with constant delays was studied in [8].

Since for $u > 0$ the functions $f_i(u) = c_i\tanh(u)/\mu_i$ satisfy $f_i'(u) > 0$, $f_i''(u) < 0$, there is a positive equilibrium for $f_2'(0) > 1/f_1'(0)$, or $c_2/\mu_2 > \mu_1/c_1$, otherwise $f_2(x) < f_1^{-1}(x)$ for $x > 0$.

Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 imply the following result.

If $c_1c_2 > \mu_1\mu_2$, then all solutions of (4.1),(2.2) and (4.2),(2.2) with non-negative initial functions and positive initial values converge to the unique positive equilibrium (x^*, y^*), where x^* is a solution of the equation

$$\frac{c_1}{\mu_1}\tanh\left(\frac{c_2}{\mu_2}\tanh(x^*)\right) = x^*,$$

$y^* = c_2\tanh(x^*)/\mu_2$. If $c_1c_2 \le \mu_1\mu_2$, then all solutions of (4.1),(2.2) and (4.2),(2.2) converge to zero.

Next, for the Lotka-Volterra-type cooperative system

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1(t)x(t)\left[A_1 - a_1x(t) + b_1\int_{h_1(t)}^{t} y(s)\ d_sR_1(t,s)\right]\\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2(t)y(t)\left[A_2 - a_2y(t) + b_2\int_{h_2(t)}^{t} x(s)\ d_sR_2(t,s)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 imply the following result.

Theorem 4.1 *Suppose (a3)-(a6) hold, $A_i \ge 0$, $a_i > 0$ and $b_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2$.*

If $A_1 + A_2 > 0$ and $a_1a_2 < b_1b_2$ then there exists a unique positive equilibrium $((b_1A_2 - a_2A_1)/(b_1b_2 - a_1a_2), (b_2A_1 - a_1A_2)/(b_1b_2 - a_1a_2))$ of (4.3), and all solutions of (4.3),(2.2) converge to this equilibrium. If $A_1 = A_2 = 0$ and $a_1a_2 < b_1b_2$ all solutions of (4.3),(2.2) converge to (0,0). If $A_1 + A_2 > 0$ and $a_1a_2 \ge b_1b_2$ then both components of the solution of (4.3),(2.2) tend to $+\infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

Next, let us consider the generalization of the cooperative model [14, p.192] to the case of distributed delays and time-variable growth rates

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1(t)x(t)\left[\int_{h_1(t)}^{t}\frac{K_1 + \alpha_1y(s)}{1 + y(s)}\ d_sR_1(t,s) - x(t)\right]\\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2(t)y(t)\left[\int_{h_2(t)}^{t}\frac{K_2 + \alpha_2x(s)}{1 + x(s)}\ d_sR_2(t,s) - y(t)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

The following result generalizes [14, Theorem 3.3.4, p. 193].

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose (a3)-(a6) hold and $\alpha_i > K_i$, $i = 1, 2$.*

Then there exists a unique positive equilibrium of (4.4), and all solutions of (4.4),(2.2) converge to this equilibrium.

A natural generalization of the results of the present paper would be to n-dimensional cooperative systems, as well as models with general nonlinear non-delay mortality

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dx}{dt} &= r_1(t)\left[\int_{h_1(t)}^{t} f_1(y(s))\ d_sR_1(t,s) - g_1(x(t))\right]\\ \frac{dy}{dt} &= r_2(t)\left[\int_{h_2(t)}^{t} f_2(x(s))\ d_sR_2(t,s) - g_2(y(t))\right]\end{aligned}$$

In the one-dimensional case and monotone increasing f_i, such models have the same properties as equations with linear mortality functions $g_i(x) = b_i x$ [3]. So far we considered the case of the unique coexistence equilibrium; however, it would be interesting to study multiple coexistence equilibria. For a single equation this investigation was implemented in [4].

Acknowledgments

The second author was partially supported by the NSERC grant RGPIN/261351-2010. The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee whose thoughtful suggestions significantly contributed to the present form of the paper.

[1] J. Arino, L. Wang, G. S. K. Wolkowicz, An alternative formulation for a delayed logistic equation, *J. Theoret. Biol.* **241** (2006), 109–119.

[2] L. Berezansky and E. Braverman, On nonoscillation and stability for systems of differential equations with a distributed delay, *Automatica J. IFAC* **48** (2012), 612-618.

[3] L. Berezansky and E. Braverman, Stability of equations with a distributed delay, monotone production and nonlinear mortality, *Nonlinearity* **26** (2013), 2833-2849.

[4] L. Berezansky and E. Braverman, On multistability of equations with a distributed delay, monotone production and the Allee effect, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **415** (2014), 873–888.

[5] E. Braverman and D. Kinzebulatov, On linear perturbations of the Ricker model, *Math. Biosci.* **202** (2006), 323-339.

[6] E. Braverman and S. Zhukovskiy, Absolute and delay-dependent stability of equations with a distributed delay, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* **32** (2012), 2041-2061.

[7] C. Corduneanu, Functional Equations with Causal Operators. *Stability and Control: Theory, Methods and Applications*, **16**. Taylor & Francis, London, 2002.

[8] T. Dong and X. Liao, Hopf-pitchfork bifurcation in a simplified BAM neural network model with multiple delays, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **253** (2013), 222-234.

[9] Y. Enatsu, Y. Nakata and Y. Muroya, Global stability of SIRS epidemic models with a class of nonlinear incidence rates and distributed delays, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.* **32** (2012), 851-865.

[10] S. Esteves, E. Gökmen and J. J. Oliveira, Global exponential stability of nonautonomous neural network models with continuous distributed delays, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219** (2013), 9296-9307.

[11] T. Faria and J. J. Oliveira, Local and global stability for Lotka-Volterra systems with distributed delays and instantaneous negative feedbacks, *J. Differential Equations* **244** (2008), 1049-1079.

[12] T. Faria and S. Trofimchuk, Positive travelling fronts for reaction-diffusion systems with distributed delay, *Nonlinearity* **23** (2010), 2457-2481.

[13] J.J. Hopfield, Neural networks with graded response have collective computation properties like those of two-state neurons, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **81** (1984), 3088–3092.

[14] K. Gopalsamy, Stability and Oscillation in Delay Differential Equations of Population Dynamics, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1992.

[15] B. Kosko, Bidirectional associative memories, *IEEE Trans. Systems Man Cybern.* **18** (1988), 49–60.

[16] Y. Kuang, Delay Differential Equations with Applications in Population Dynamics, Mathematics in Science and Engineering, 191. Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1993.

[17] Y. N. Kyrychko, K. B. Blyuss, E. Schöll, Amplitude and phase dynamics in oscillators with distributed-delay coupling, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* **371** (2013), no. 1999, 20120466, 22 pp.

[18] S. Liu and E. Beretta, Competitive systems with stage structure of distributed-delay type, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **323** (2006), 331-343.

[19] X. Liu and P. Stechlinski, Hybrid control of impulsive systems with distributed delays, *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid Syst.* **11** (2014), 57-70.

[20] E. Liz and A. Ruiz-Herrera, Attractivity, multistability, and bifurcation in delayed Hopfield's model with non-monotonic feedback, *J. Differential Equations* **255** (2013), no. 11, 4244-4266.

[21] G. Lu, Z. Lu and X. Lian, Delay effect on the permanence for Lotka-Volterra cooperative systems, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **11** (2010), 2810-2816.

[22] A. Muhammadhaji and Z. Teng, Positive periodic solutions of n-species LotkaVolterra cooperative sys- tems with delays, *Vietnam J. Math.* **40** (2012), 453-467.

[23] I. Ncube, Stability switching and Hopf bifurcation in a multiple-delayed neural network with distributed delay, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **407** (2013), 141-146.

[24] B. Niu and Y. Guo, Bifurcation analysis on the globally coupled Kuramoto oscillators with distributed time delays, *Phys. D* **266** (2014), 23-33.

[25] P. H. A. Ngoc, Stability of positive differential systems with delay, *IEEE Trans. Automat. Control* **58** (2013), 203-209.

[26] J. H. Park, On global stability criterion for neural networks with discrete and distributed delays, *Chaos Solitons Fractals* **30** (2006), 897-902.

[27] Y. Saito, The necessary and sufficient condition for global stability of a Lotka-Volterra cooperative or competition system with delays, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **268** (2002), 109–124.

[28] O. Solomon and E. Fridman, New stability conditions for systems with distributed delays, *Automatica J. IFAC* **49** (2013), 3467–3475.

[29] C. Vargas-De-León, Lyapunov functions for two-species cooperative systems, *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219** (2012), no. 5, 2493–2497.

[30] C. Vargas-De-León, Lyapunov functions for two-dimensional cooperative systems of delay differential equations with concave nonlinearities, submitted.

[31] Y. Yuan and J. Bélair, Stability and Hopf bifurcation analysis for functional differential equation with distributed delay, *SIAM J. Appl. Dyn. Syst.* **10** (2011), 551-581.